

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 tww.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,473		03/30/2004	Iwao Anzai	8305-223U1 (NP136-1)	4805
570	7590	06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
-	-	TRAUSS HAUER &	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200			1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILAD	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1754	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\ P				
	10/813,473	ANZAI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Wayne Langel	1754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	ay 200 <u>5</u> .						
<i>,</i>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayie, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			٠				
4) □ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		Ð				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,473

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang et al '363 (newly cited). Hwang et al '363 discloses a process for producing hydrogen comprising introducing a preheated stream of hydrocarbon, water and air to an autothermal reactor containing a layered catalyst. (See the Abstract.) Hwang et al '363 teaches at col. 5, line 47 to col. 6, line 36 that the catalyst layer may comprise ruthenium and a rare earth oxide such as cerium oxide on an aluminum oxide support. The difference between the process disclosed by Hwang et al '363, and that recited in applicants' claims, is that Hwang et al '363 does not disclose the specific amounts and ratios of the elements as recited in applicants' claims. It would be prima facie obvious to employ the amounts and ratios of the elements as recited in applicants claims in the catalyst layer of Hwang et al '363, since it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine suitable or optimum amounts and ratios of the catalytic elements. It would be expected that such amounts and ratios would be result-effective variables for the process of Hwang et al '363.

The Anzai Declaration has been considered, but is not convincing of error in the rejection over Hwang et al '363. The Declaration provides evidence that catalysts exhibiting excellent properties in steam reforming do not necessarily exhibit excellent properties in autothermal reforming. However the steam reforming catalyst layer of

Application/Control Number: 10/813,473

Art Unit: 1754

Hwang et al '363 is present in the autothermal reforming section, and is thus considered to constitute an autothermal reforming catalyst.

Prasad et al is made of record for disclosing an autothermal reactor including a combustion section and a catalytic reforming section at col. 6, lines 30-41.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754